

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

THE HONOURABLE Mr. Justice)
R. Raikes)

Tuesday THE 13th DAY
OF April , 2021

BETWEEN:

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION and SEAN ALLOTT

Plaintiffs

- and -

PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA;
PANASONIC CANADA INC.; SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; NEC TOKIN CORPORATION;
NEC TOKIN AMERICA INC.; KEMET CORPORATION; KEMET ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION; NIPPON CHEMI-CON CORPORATION; UNITED CHEMI-CON
CORPORATION; HITACHI CHEMICAL CO., LTD.; HITACHI CHEMICAL COMPANY
AMERICA, LTD.; HITACHI CANADA; NICHICON CORPORATION; NICHICON (AMERICA)
CORPORATION; AVX CORPORATION; RUBYCON CORPORATION; RUBYCON AMERICA
INC.; ELNA CO., LTD.; ELNA AMERICA INC.; MATSUO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; TOSHIN
KOGYO CO., LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS; SAMSUNG ELECTRO-MECHANICS
AMERICA INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CANADA INC.; ROHM CO., LTD.; ROHM
SEMICONDUCTOR U.S.A., LLC.; HITACHI AIC INC.; HITACHI CHEMICAL ELECTRONICS
CO., LTD.; FPCAP ELECTRONICS (SUZHOU) CO., LTD.; FUJITSU LTD.; FUJITSU CANADA,
INC.; HOLY STONE ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.; VISHAY POLYTECH CO., LTD. f/k/a
HOLYSTONE POLYTECH CO., LTD.; MILESTONE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. d/b/a
HOLYSTONE INTERNATIONAL; and HOLY STONE HOLDINGS CO., LTD.

Defendants

PROCEEDING UNDER THE CLASS PROCEEDINGS ACT, 1992

ORDER (Settlement Approval)

THIS MOTION made by the Plaintiffs for an Order approving the settlement agreement entered into with Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, Panasonic Canada Inc., and Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. (the “Settling Defendants”) and dismissing this action as against the Settling Defendants, was heard February 25, 2021 at the Court House, 80 Dundas Street, London, Ontario.

AND ON READING the materials filed, including the settlement agreement dated October 12, 2020 attached to this Order as **Schedule “A”** (the “Settlement Agreement”), and on hearing

the submissions of counsel for the Plaintiffs, Counsel for the Settling Defendants and counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the deadline for objecting to the Settlement Agreement has passed and there have been no objections to the Settlement Agreement;

AND ON BEING ADVISED that the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants consent to this Order and that the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants take no position on this motion:

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, for the purposes of this Order, except to the extent that they are modified in this Order, the definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement apply to and are incorporated into this Order.
2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event of a conflict between this Order and the Settlement Agreement, this Order shall prevail.
3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class.
4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved pursuant to section 29 of the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*, c. 6, 2006, c. 19, Sched. C, and shall be implemented and enforced in accordance with its terms.
5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including the Settlement Agreement, is binding upon each member of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class including those Persons who are minors or mentally incapable and the requirements of Rules 7.04(1) and 7.08(4) of the *Rules of Civil Procedure* are dispensed with in respect of the Ontario Electrolytic Action.
6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have consented to the dismissal of Released Electrolytic Claims as against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs and with prejudice.
7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each Other Electrolytic Action commenced in Ontario by any Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Member shall be and is hereby dismissed in respect of Released Electrolytic Claims against the Settling Defendants and the Releasees, without costs and with prejudice.

8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, subject to paragraph 10, each Electrolytic Releasor has released and shall be conclusively deemed to have forever and absolutely released the Releasees from the Released Electrolytic Claims.
9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each Electrolytic Releasor who has not validly opted-out of this action, as well as Class Counsel, shall not now or hereafter institute, continue, provide assistance for or maintain or assert, either directly or indirectly, whether in Canada or elsewhere, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or any other Person, any action, suit, cause of action, claim or demand against any Releasee, or any other Person who may claim contribution or indemnity or other claims over relief from any Releasee, in respect of any Released Electrolytic Claims, except for the continuation of the Proceedings against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or unnamed co-conspirators that are not Releasees or, if the Proceedings are not certified or authorized, the continuation of the claims asserted in the Proceedings on an individual basis or otherwise against any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or unnamed co-conspirator that is not a Releasee.
10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the use of the terms "Electrolytic Releasors" and "Released Electrolytic Claims" in this Order does not constitute a release of claims by those members of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class who are resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors.
11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, each member of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class who is resident in any province or territory where the release of one tortfeasor is a release of all tortfeasors covenants and undertakes not to make any claim in any way or to threaten, commence, participate in or continue any proceeding in any jurisdiction against the Releasees in respect of or in relation to the Released Electrolytic Claims.
12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that all claims for contribution, indemnity or other claims over, whether asserted, unasserted, or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs relating to the Released Electrolytic Claims, which were or could have been brought in the Proceedings or otherwise, or could in the future be brought on the basis of the same events, actions and omissions underlying the Proceedings, by any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Electrolytic Defendant, or any other Person or party against

a Releasee, or by a Releasee against any Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, any named or unnamed alleged co-conspirator that is not a Releasee, any Settled Electrolytic Defendant, or any other Person or party, are barred, prohibited and enjoined in accordance with the terms of this paragraph (unless such claim is made in respect of a claim by a Person who has validly opted out of the Proceedings);

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if this Court ultimately determines that there is a right of contribution and indemnity or other claim over, whether in equity or in law, by statute or otherwise:
 - a. the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members shall not be entitled to claim or recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee that portion of any damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigative costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) that corresponds to the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees proven at trial or otherwise;
 - b. the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members shall limit their claims against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to include, and shall only seek to recover from the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, only such claims for damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*), attributable to the aggregate of the several liability of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, to the Ontario Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class members, if any, and, for greater certainty, the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class members shall be entitled to seek to recover such damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) on a joint and several basis as between the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants and/or

named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators and/or any other Person or party that is not a Releasee, if permitted by law; and

- c. this Court shall have full authority to determine the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees at the trial or other disposition of the Ontario Electrolytic Action, whether or not the Settling Defendants remain in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or appear at the trial or other disposition, and the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall be determined as if the Releasees are parties to the Ontario Electrolytic Action and any determination by this Court in respect of the Proportionate Liability of the Releasees shall only apply in the Ontario Electrolytic Action and shall not be binding on the Releasees in any other proceeding.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that nothing in this Order is intended to or shall limit, restrict or affect any arguments which the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants may make regarding the reduction of any assessment of damages (including punitive damages, if any), restitutionary award, disgorgement of profits, interest and costs (including investigation costs claimed pursuant to section 36 of the *Competition Act*) or judgment against them in favour of members of the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class in the Ontario Electrolytic Action or the rights of Ontario Plaintiffs and Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members to oppose or resist any such arguments, except as provided for in this Order.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may, on motion to this Court determined as if the Settling Defendants remained parties to this action, brought on at least ten (10) days' notice to Counsel for the Settling Defendants and not to be brought until the Ontario Electrolytic Action against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants has been certified as a class proceeding (but not including any certification for settlement purposes), seek orders for the following:

- a. documentary discovery and affidavit(s) of documents from the Settling Defendants in accordance with the *Rules of Civil Procedure*;
- b. oral discovery of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants, the transcript(s) of which may be read in at trial;
- c. leave to serve request(s) to admit on the Settling Defendants in respect of factual matters; and/or

- d. the production of representative(s) of the Settling Defendants to testify at trial, with such witness(es) to be subject to cross-examination by counsel for the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Settling Defendants retain all rights to oppose such motion(s) brought under paragraph 15. Moreover, nothing herein restricts the Settling Defendants from seeking a protective order to maintain confidentiality and protection of proprietary information in respect of Documents to be produced and/or for information obtained from discovery in accordance with paragraph 15. Notwithstanding any provision in this Order, on any motion brought pursuant to paragraph 15, this Court may make such orders as to costs and other terms as it considers appropriate.
17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that a Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendant may serve the motion(s) referred to in paragraph 15 above on the Settling Defendants by service on Counsel for the Settling Defendants in the Ontario Electrolytic Action.
18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that for purposes of implementation, administration, interpretation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and this Order, this Court will retain an ongoing supervisory role and the Settling Defendants acknowledge and attorn to the jurisdiction of this Court solely for the purpose of implementing, administering, interpreting and enforcing the Settlement Agreement and this Order, and subject to the terms and conditions set out in the Settlement Agreement and this Order.
19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, except as provided herein, this Order does not affect any claims or causes of action that any Releasor has or may have against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants or named or unnamed alleged co-conspirators who are not Releasees.
20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that no Releasee shall have any responsibility for and no liability whatsoever relating to the administration of the Settlement Agreement or Distribution Protocol.

21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Electrolytic Settlement Amount shall be held in the Trust Account by Ontario Counsel or its duly appointed agent for the benefit of Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class Members, pending further order of this Court on notice to the Defendants. This paragraph shall not be interpreted as affecting the rights of the Plaintiff or the Settlement Classes to claim such Class Counsel Disbursements in the context of a future costs award in their favour against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants, or the rights of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants to oppose and resist any such claim.
22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, upon the Effective Date, the Ontario Electrolytic Action is hereby dismissed as against the Settling Defendants, without costs and with prejudice.
23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the approval of the Settlement Agreement is contingent upon parallel orders for approval being made by the BC Court and the Québec Court, and the terms of this Order shall not be effective unless and until the Settlement Agreement is approved by the BC Court and the Québec Court, and the BC Electrolytic Action has been dismissed with prejudice and without costs and the Québec Action has been declared settled out of court without costs and without reservation as against the Settling Defendants in the relevant proceeding by the Courts. If such orders are not secured in British Columbia and Québec, this Order shall be null and void and without prejudice to the rights of the parties to proceed with the Ontario Electrolytic Action and any agreement between the parties incorporated in this Order shall be deemed in any subsequent proceedings to have been made without prejudice.
24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, this Order shall be declared null and void without the need for further Order of this Court but with notice to the Ontario Electrolytic Settlement Class and the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.
25. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order, including but not limited to the approval of the Settlement Agreement and any reasons given by the Court in relation thereto, except as to paragraphs 12 to 17 of the Order, is without prejudice to the rights and defences of the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants in connection with the ongoing Ontario Electrolytic Action and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may not be relied on by any Person to establish jurisdiction, the criteria for certification (including class definition) or the existence or elements of the causes of action asserted in the Ontario Electrolytic Action as against the Non-Settling Electrolytic Defendants.

Date: April 14, 2021


The Honourable

CYGNUS ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION, et al.
Plaintiffs

v. PANASONIC CORPORATION, et al.
Defendants

Court File No. 3795/14 CP

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT LONDON

Proceeding Under the *Class Proceedings Act, 1992*

**ORDER
(Settlement Approval)**

**FOREMAN & COMPANY
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**

4 Covent Market Place
London, ON N6A 1E2

**Jonathan J. Foreman (LSO# 45087H)
Jean-Marc Metrailler (LSO# 69848F)**

Tel: 519.914.1174

Fax: 226.884.5340

E-mail: jforeman@foremancompany.com
jmetrailler@foremancompany.com

Lawyers for the Plaintiffs